

FINAL APPEAL DECISION DISMISSAL
Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System," this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Inmate Name:	Lamont Zamichieli	Inmate Number:	LW2870
SCI Filed at:	Huntingdon	Current SCI:	Huntingdon
Grievance #:	732593		
Decision:	Dismiss		
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Your grievance was properly rejected at the facility level for the reason(s) outlined below.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2) Your grievance is being dismissed at the final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.		
Rationale:			
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. Grievances related to the following issues shall be handled according to procedures specified in the policies listed and shall not be reviewed by the Facility Grievance Coordinator.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	a) DC ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) – allegations of a sexual nature against a staff member and/or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact		
<input type="checkbox"/>	b) DC ADM 801 Inmate Discipline/Misconduct Procedures		
<input type="checkbox"/>	c) DC ADM 802 Administrative Custody Procedures		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. The grievance or appeal was not submitted within fifteen (15) working days after the events upon which claims are based.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Grievance involves matter(s) that occurred at another facility and should be directed by the inmate to the appropriate facility.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. The grievance was not signed and/or dated with correct commitment name, number, contained UCC references, or was not presented in proper format.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Grievance or appeal must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. The grievance or appeal exceeded the two page limit. Description needs to be brief.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	8. Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9. The issue(s) presented on the attached grievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and addressed. Prior grievance #		
<input type="checkbox"/>	10. Group grievances or grievances filed on behalf of another inmate are prohibited.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	11. Grievance disputes previous grievances, appeal decisions or staff members who rendered those decisions.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	12. You are currently on grievance restriction. You are limited to one grievance every 15 working days. Last grievance # was submitted on		
<input type="checkbox"/>	13. You have not provided this Office with required and/or legible documentation for proper review.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	14. An appeal to final review is not permitted when you fail to comply with submission procedures.		
Response:			
This office agrees with the Superintendent's response.			
Signature:	Dorina Varner <i>Dorina Varner</i>		
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer		
Date:	8/27/18		

DLV

cc: DC-15/Superintendent Kauffman
 Grievance Office



pg 4d2

7th/12/2019

0/0

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR

FACILITY:

DATE: _____

FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)

SIGNATURE OF INMATE

Secretary's Office
Inmate Grievances & Appeals

WORK ASSIGNMENT:

HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:

AUG 09 2018

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking

C/O Henry and C/o Pankes on the DTU on 4/15/88 conspired and sabotaged my college Legal Manila envelope I had sent attempted to send to court middle US District Court for DA full of 59 pages of documents copiestic Paperwork for legal costs civil. Cameras on The DTU will show and confirm substantiate that C/O HENRY opened my aperture wicket on 4/15/88 between 8:00 - 8:45 pm at GCICOT DMU cell to collect it from my room while he was collecting mail after receiving it from me, he walked away over whispered too C/O PARKES in his ear, and gave him my legal mail told him to do something with it very sneaky used relations because my plea complaint/envelopes when he came by to do C/O punch button, I asked him what if he said he took it to be able to get stamp signed it for me and PANKES. Stupidly I thought I had to tell them yes. But they never put it in box, they took it to a Mann Pitt Bubble cab led officers with Bubble maliciously open and on steal my paperwork - upon return from Bubble I told him to show me the stamping he brought it back to my cell to show cash slip and the envelopes looked nearly empty. When I April Bar last night 4/26/88 11pm cameras will confirm in Manila envelope full of civil documents complaint and cash slips still attached envelope needed signed middle Dist Dist court of DA 235 North Washington Street NW DC 20001-1148 AS Box 1148 It was seen (my Complaint) 1988 form lawsuit and numerous documents still in FBI Pass. On 4/15/88 I wrote and tried to prove that I was innocent of all charges. I did not want to go to jail. I did not want to go to prison. I did not want to go to jail. I did not want to go to prison.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date _____

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 1/26/2016
Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 1-A

732593

Initial Grievance

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR M.S. Green	FACILITY: SCI-Huntingdon	DATE: 4/17/18
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) Lamont Zomicheli # LW2870	SIGNATURE OF INMATE:	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: NA	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: 6C1007	

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.
- A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.
- On 4/17/18 I received request slip back from inmate accounts ms. CASHNER stating that she didn't receive the Manila envelope of documents I sent out... which means C/O HENRY / C/O PONTES conspired committed crime of Federal offense by tampering with stealing sabotaging my outgoing legal mail Manila envelope containing 59 pgs inside of it 59 pages and envelope should be found ASAP. C/O Henry collected from my door on 4/15/18 between 8:00-8:45pm on DM cell DM 6C1007 camera's will show and verify his malicious intentional acts. I Request Both C/O PONTES / C/O HENRY, Officers in the Bubble of DM and night shift officers who possibly opened the Mail/Box with a key they had in order to take out my legal outgoing mail out of the Box on 10pm to 1am shift to all be fired and on suspended for 180 days without pay and criminally charged Prosecuted for committing Federal Crime of stealing mail. I was attempting to send to Courts Middle District of PA to Pursue civil Action. I Request for them and on all Responsible Parties to come forth confess and find my Manila envelope full of legal civil documents and provide them back to me or send to Accounts immediately... Protect my 5th, 6th, 14th Amendment. I was retaliated against by officers who conspired with the mail room etc in a form of them stealing my outgoing legal mail and sending it out just to stop me from filing lawsuits on them and others. Non-Privileged claims. These two individuals employees etc here
- B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance. C/O SCI-Huntingdon has a history along with security Dept to tamper with my mail sabotage incoming/outgoing privileged and non-privileged mail. Numerous grievance filed on similar issues before still pending from facility manager response. I told officers on DM today 4/17/18 of issue so they should turn papers stating my issue - Slavery Proof. I showed it to C/O Merritts and C/O Myers who both agreed and encouraged me to file grievance. I asked to speak to LT on shift, he was busy and didn't have time to. I asked... C/O officers stated it was not them it got to be mailroom security LT Maxwell sabotaging my mail outgoing outgoing ms
- Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804. Incoming privileged non-privileged

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

INITIAL REVIEW RESPONSE

SCI-Huntingdon
1100 Pike St.
Huntingdon, PA 16654-1112

DTU
109

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows.

Inmate Name:	Zamichieli	Inmate Number:	LW2870
Facility:	Huntingdon	Unit Location:	G block DTU
Grievance #:	732593	Grievance Date:	4/17/18
Decision:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Uphold Inmate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grievance Denied <input type="checkbox"/> Uphold in Part/Deny in Part			
<i>It is the decision of this grievance officer to uphold or deny the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, summarize the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and, relief sought.</i>			
Response:	Frivolous		
<p>I have received your grievance in which you allege that staff are tampering with your outgoing mail. I have talked to the staff that you named in your grievance and the other officers working in the RHU on that day. They informed me that they don't remember the specific mail that you reference but they do bring the cash slip to the RHU bubble to have it stamped. From your cell GC1007 you can see the RHU bubble but you can't see into the bubble or what staff are doing inside the bubble. No staff is tampering with your mail, nor are they trying to do anything to your legal cases. Upon checking your account, postage was deducted from your account on 4/18/18. Your legal mail was sent out within RHU procedures and the money was deducted from your account to cover the postage.</p> <p>For the above reasons this grievance and all relief sought is denied.</p> <p><i>green</i></p>			
Signature:	<i>A. K. L. K. K.</i>		
Title:	RHU/DTU UM		
Date:	5/10/18		

cc: Superintendent
DC-15
File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 12/1/2010
Effective: 12/8/2010

Attachment 1-D

3/20 Page 5 of 14
Appeal # 732593
Facility
Manager.

Appeal

73-593

G. G. G. G.

M. J. G.

$$u_1^2 u_2^2 = 24 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$$

01d
Wm. H. H. H.
10/10/10

[illegible]

MR. LENDRICK DTU UM - has misrepresented the facts and failed to investigate my claims or thoroughly investigate.

it has not received cents
to the Middle District Court
\$5.50 from my inmate account By the inmate accounts.
A manila envelope full of documents for lawsuit Section 1983 cases.
at case # 17-1898 and (New case titled # 18-0850).

Cants notified me that they haven't received these documents. There was numerous of legal matters of about 59 pages plus 30 other pages affidavits/declarations etc. 26 pages complaint + 32 pages of supporting evidence/exhibits attached to complaint # B18-CV-00850-MEM-DB, + documents such as affidavit of counsel motion and Marshal Service form. Informa Papers included: totaling of about 89 pages, not more.

Cameras will verify that C/O Henry supposedly put in mail box on DTH 4/1.
w/ stamped from signed from DTH/PTO Bubble!

Answer - Mark Lewis


Facility Manager's Appeal Response**SCI-Huntingdon**

1100 Pike St.

Huntingdon, PA 16654-1112

C110

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy," the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me, and any other documents submitted.

Inmate Name:	Lamont Zamichiele	Inmate Number:	LW2870
Facility:	HUN	Unit Location:	GC-Unit
Grievance #:	732593		
Decision:	<input type="checkbox"/> Uphold Response (UR) <input type="checkbox"/> Uphold in part/Deny in part <input type="checkbox"/> Uphold Inmate (UI) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dismiss/Dismiss Untimely		
<i>It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss, or uphold in part/deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale summarizing the conclusion and any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.</i>			
Response:	Frivolous		
<p>In accordance with DC ADM 804, Section 2, an inmate may appeal an initial grievance response within 15 working days of the date on the initial response. Your initial response was dated 5-10-18; however, I note you wrote to Ms. Green on 5-29-18 asking for an extension to submit your appeal because you could not obtain the necessary appeal form. On 5-30-18, Ms. Green responded and permitted you to have an additional 10 working days and explained you could submit your appeal on a request slip or tablet paper. Ten working days would have been 6-13-18; however, your appeal to my office is dated 6-26-18 which is beyond the deadline. This appeal is being dismissed for untimeliness.</p>			
Signature:	Kevin Kauffman		
Title:	Facility Manager		
Date:	7-20-18		

cc: DC-15
File

Appeal to final Review

Relief: 365 days Deprived of RTU and immediate transfer to another PA DOC facility prison in next 10 days!

Be granted to me Return all of my documents to me so I can present to courts 89 documents complaint

DC-804
Part 1

732593

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

Civil case # 18-0850 middle Dist court of PA
IF my mail was not sabotized by PA DOC officers then why did SC

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR <i>Final Review Control office</i>	FACILITY: <i>Huntingdon SC</i>	DATE: <i>8/3/18</i>
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) <i>Leonard Zamicheli # LW2870</i>	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: <i>[Signature]</i>	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <i>NA</i>	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: <i>611010 DRI</i>	

INSTRUCTIONS:
1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

Relief: \$50,000 violator 1st, 5th, 6th, 14th amendment of US const. Also employee of PA DOC, that you will show discrimination/Be Bias and Concur with employees response and deny/dismiss it even though there's proof that officers are wronging inmates such as my self. My still (SC Huntingdon) staff still to date Sabotage destroy my outgoing/incoming legal privileged mail and non privileged mail as they did to my lawsuit documents #18-850 made on 4/15/18 and as they did with my first appeal I sent to Sup Court on 6/7/18 but he claimed for never received it because officers Sabotage it to prevent me from exhausting remedies of a valid supported claim with Merits. SO I appealed it again after receiving Request SIP asking status of Appeal and was notified by Connie Green Grievance Coordinator of Huntingdon SC that no appeal appears on record. So I appealed it again on 7/3/18 but she said it's not delivered. I submitted new complaint to courts on 4/15/18 - a 26 page complaint lawsuit with 32 exhibits evidence proof grievances etc also 30 pages of US Marshal Service forms, warrants of summons Notice of lawsuit forms.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.
of Counsel + Informa Pauperus forms/Affidavit forms in Manila envelope to middle Dist court of PA Case # 3:18-cv-00850-MEM-DB, a lawsuit-complaint against numerous of PA DOC employees for violating my rights and continue to do in PA DOC/employees at Reckewitz Huntingdon submitted to Judge

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy CANARY File Copy PINK Action Return Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 1 - Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 1/26/2016
Effective: 2/16/2016

Relief: in my lawsuits # 3:18-cv-00850-MEM-DB or # 3:17-cv-01858-MEM-DB is involved on loss it some way through court proceedings, I ask my rights to be restored and lost or delayed case civil case over turned into my favor.!!

Also ask in never that was demanded in these lawsuits that was sabotaged/hindered by PA DOC employees

Note: for that reason, look at cameras to date 8/3/18, you will see that even since I submitted grievance on this issue, ID

235 N. Washington
PO Box 1148
Scranton, PA 1850
middle Dist court of PA
I asked to send on 4/15/18 but never received
all of documents I asked for

Call of Scott
SC Huntingdon
on DRI unit moved
The Mail Box/grievance

2019

Final Appeal Decision
Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy", the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Inmate Name:	Lamont Zamichell	Inmate Number:	LW2870
SCI Filed at:	Huntingdon	Current SCI:	Huntingdon
Grievance #:	771248		
Publication (if applicable):			
Decision:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uphold Response (UR) <input type="checkbox"/> Uphold Inmate (UI) <input type="checkbox"/> Uphold in part/Deny in part			
<i>It is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.</i>			
Response:	Frivolous		
You claim in your grievance that your attorney sent you mail on 10/22/18 and you didn't receive it. An investigation was conducted into your allegations. The record reflects that Secretary Wetzel directed a new mail policy. The record reflects that when the new mail process started, there was a delay in receiving mail due to the amount of mail. However, Smart Communications have improved their turnaround time. The record reflects that SCI Huntingdon is processing mail in accordance with DC ADM 803. Thank you for your continued patience.			
Signature:	Dorina Varner <i>Dorina Varner</i>		
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer		
Date:	2/14/19		

DLV

cc: DC-15/Superintendent Kauffman
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016
 Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-F

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONSFOR OFFICIAL USE
771248
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR <i>COPIED TO MS 600</i>	FACILITY: SET HUNTINGTON	DATE: 11/13/18
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) LAWSON, ZACHARY #LW2870	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: <i>[Signature]</i>	Secretary's Office Inmate Grievances & Appeals
WORK ASSIGNMENT: LW2870	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: 6C1002 014	FEB 08 2019

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.
- A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.
- ISSUES of INCOMING MAIL. This is an ONGOING INFRINGEMENT of 3rd amendment right freedom of speech / Access to courts. Address go envelope etc. Also check process of 14th amendment plus 4th amendment Privacy confidentiality as well as denial of 6th amendment Access to counsel. The prison communication / PA DOC Incoming Mail Policy has and will continue to affect me and my legal civil action cases in Federal District Courts of PA USA. Mail should only take 5 days max associated with my civil actions against PA DOC Defendants. It is then lawyers representing them in these 3 civil matters against numerous of PA DOC employees. It is a BIG problem that their counsel which is head Deputy Attorney General office of PA and the medical defendants lawyers has to respond legal litigation documents. Appear to me. Travel. Small communications Address. Address when the mail should be able to be sent to the Prison Direct Address SET HUNTINGTON for me to promptly oppose or litigate and properly without being delayed Access to courts. I am still waiting on very important. Particular documents from Attorney office A. Gold & Ferrante, PC that the courts started on DeBretshert that the lawyers sent to me on 10/22/18. But however I still haven't received these interrogatory answers documents. As court had asked Fred of attorney sending to prison communication address because SET HUNTINGTON rejects all mail that these attorneys send to the Prison Direct Address. This mail should be privileged legal mail. It is incorrect and a total violation of my 3rd amendment of PA DOC SET HUNTINGTON States these attorneys are legal counsel. court officials. Classified to send me legal mail directly to the Prison Address. This is affecting
- B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance. Three of my civil rights federal lawsuits in a negative way - having 1 prolong the process plus sending mail in waiting on but didn't receive yet. It is been almost a month now since court said attorney sent. AS there 3 other pieces of mail that I received from other attorney and my girlfriend on 11/5/18 11/6/18 and 11/8/18 but however received 3 weeks late (21 days late) according to Post office USPS Postmark on envelope dated 10/16/18, 10/17/18, 10/19/18 Postmark location is The Inmate Grievance
- Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804. List, what transpired

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action-Return Copy

INITIAL REVIEW RESPONSE

SCI-Huntingdon
1100 Pike St.
Huntingdon, PA 16654-1112

DTU

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to the assigned Grievance Officer. The response is as follows.

Inmate Name:	Zamichieli, L	Inmate Number:	LN2870
Facility:	HUN	Unit Location:	GC1003
Grievance #:	771248	Grievance Date:	11-13-18
Publication (if applicable):			
Decision:	<input type="checkbox"/> Uphold Inmate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grievance Denied <input type="checkbox"/> Uphold in Part/Deny in Part		
<i>It is the decision of this grievance officer to uphold or deny the inmate's initial grievance. This response will include a brief rationale, summarize the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and, relief sought.</i>			
Response:	Frivolous		
<p>I received a copy of your grievance #771248. The period that the vendor takes to process the items is beyond the institution's control. The vendor is aware of the situation and actions are being taken to ensure that this issue does not continue to occur. When the items are received, the mailroom staff are printing the correspondence and making all efforts to deliver them within the five days as mentioned in the FAQ's. In reference to legal mail being delivered to Smart Communication. As per DC ADM 803 all incoming mail that does not met the criteria of privileged correspondence will be sent and processed by Smart Communications.</p> <p>All attempts to answer the grievant complaints were made but the grievance was illegible and hard to understand. In accordance with DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review</p> <p>11. The text of the grievance must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner. The inmate must include a statement of the facts relevant to the claim. This is the responsibility of the inmate to ensure the grievance is filed correctly.</p> <p>With the information gathered this grievance is being denied</p> <p><i>green</i></p>			
Signature:	D. Wendle <i>Daniel S Wendle</i>		
Title:	Lieutenant		
Date:	11/20/18		

cc: Superintendent
DC-15
File

DC-804
Part 1ATTN: Appeal
771248COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR <i>Superintendent KEVIN KAUFFMAN</i>	FACILITY: <i>SCI Huntingdon</i>	DATE: <i>12/9/18</i>
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) <i>Lamont Zamichiepi # LW2870</i>	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: <i>[Signature]</i>	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <i>NA LW2870</i>	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: <i>SCI 111 DTU</i>	

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

Appeal # 771248 which was denied on 11/09/18. I seek relief money as requested in original and INJUNCTIVE relief that my mail from Attorney General office of PA, Governmental Lawyers be allowed to send Legal Privileged Mail to SCI Huntingdon Direct Address for me with or without a control Number instead of Through Smart form. Because no other PA DOC facility rejects Mail from these Attorneys to inmates a Direct Address but SCI Huntingdon. SCI Huntingdon has its own mail policy instead of following PA DOC Policy that every other facility follow but SCI Huntingdon, mailroom security dept. Mail from PA Attorney General office has always been classified as Special Legal Privileged confidential Mail at other facilities of DOC and for other inmates but me. I'm being singled out as this is a retaliatory tactic to interfere with my access to courts and attorneys for my civil litigations of 3 civil federal lawsuits pending in Middle/Western District courts of PA against numerous of PA DOC employees and medical employees who are defendants in these lawsuits. I filed Mail from Attorney General's office has always been processed as privileged mail under DC ADM 803. I was recently at court at SCI BENNER, INMATES there who have lawsuits against DOC and its employees are contacted via U.S. Mail Legal Mail to Direct Prison Address by Attorney General, who is the PA DOC and its employees of DOC Lawyer/Attorney who represent them on the lawsuits. These inmates are required to sign their signature.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance. to receive the Legal Mail and have it opened in their presence as Legal Privileged Mail at that facility whether it contains an attorney control Number or not. SCI Huntingdon mailroom/security dept nor staff who answered the initial response has not offered no facts or specifics of a policy DC ADM 803 stating how the Mail from Attorney General offices of PA isn't privileged, also why does it have to be sent (processed by smart communication) also why is there false advertisement on PAO.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804. For inmates to receive relief \$365,000 cash

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

Facility Manager's Appeal Response


SCI-Huntingdon

1100 Pike St.

Huntingdon, PA 16654-1112

61011

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance appeal to the Facility Manager for the grievance noted below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System Policy," the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to me, and any other documents submitted.

Inmate Name:	Lamont Zamichieli	Inmate Number:	LW2870
Facility:	SCI HUNTINGDON	Unit Location:	GC1011
Grievance #:	771248		
Decision:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uphold Response (UR)		<input type="checkbox"/> Uphold in part/Deny in part	
<input type="checkbox"/> Uphold Inmate (UI)		<input type="checkbox"/> Dismiss/Dismiss Untimely	
<i>It is the decision of this Facility Manager to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, dismiss, or uphold in part/deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale summarizing the conclusion and any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.</i>			
Response:	Frivolous		
<p>I have reviewed your grievance and appeal. I note that Lt. Wendle appropriately addressed your concern with your mail. In your appeal, you state you are appealing for the same reasons presented in your initial grievance. Lt. Wendle explains that your mail was processed appropriately as defined by the DC ADM 803.</p> <p>2. Incoming Privileged Correspondence is incoming inmate mail as described below: <u>Mail from an elected or appointed federal, state, or local official who has sought and obtained a control number issued by the Department's Office of Chief Counsel. NOTE: Not all correspondence between an inmate and elected or appointed federal, state, or local official will require privileged correspondence processing. Control numbers will only be issued when the underlying matter involves matters related to a confidential investigation process or similar concerns.</u></p> <p>You also state that SCI Huntingdon is following its own mail policy. SCI Huntingdon is following the DC ADM 803 and will continue to do so. The Department is working closely with Smart Communications to ensure that mail is being processed and delivered as timely as possible. In closing, I can only reiterate that I uphold the response provided by the grievance officer. Your grievance is found to be without merit.</p>			
Signature:	Kevin Kauffman		
Title:	Facility Manager		
Date:	1/8/19		

cc: DC-15
File

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 2 - Appeals

Issued: 12/1/2010
Effective: 12/8/2010

Attachment 2-B

Attention:

Appeal to Final Review Central office

Date: 1/28/19.

① PG 1 of 2

TURN PAGE OVER

NAME: Lamont Zamichieri INMATE # LW2870

GRIEVANCE # 771248

SCI - HUNTINGDON

I state same issues as listed in Initial grievance as appeal to facility

I Appeal to final Review with the following of grievance # 771248. I recently received the response to this grievance on 1/25/19. But it was dated 1/8/19. By Sup Kauffman on 1/8/19. The DOC SCI-Huntingdon employees withheld my mail responses in an attempt to make me lose appeal timely. As Central office was already notified and aware of this. Plus according to DOC policy the superintendent Kauffman had 15 working days to respond to appeal unless he request extension to respond. But in fact it's true that the response is dated 1/8/19. It's also true that appeal to this grievance to him was dated 12/9/18. He failed to respond in 15 working days and he failed to request extension of time to respond therefore he defaulted as violated policy therefore relief should be held in my favor. He provided no justification for not responding timely therefore remedies are exhausted at this point ~~see case law~~ but I'll still appeal as effort

Am not receiving all of the mail I'm expecting from individuals or officers & requests individuals in PA Mobile way being denied and withheld

Any/all of appropriate relief to offer from DOC

of good faith - see case law (Robinson 831 F.3d at 154) I sent many fellow inmates request slips requesting a timely response. 3rd Cir 2016 SCI Rockview

Relief: Immediate Release to General Population next 10 days
Relief: SCI Huntingdon to stop violating my rights and allow PA Attorney General office and attorneys representing defendants in civil action # 17-742, # 17-898, # 18-0850 to contact me immediately and legal mail to prison
SCI Huntingdon is sabotaging my legal mail incoming and outgoing
R...k own action it doesn't allow the Attorney General office of PA,

SCI-Rockview/PA Doc rendered its administrative remedies unavailable when it fails to timely respond, By its own prison procedure rules policy. Therefore plant grievances then repeatedly ignored follow up request for decisions. It prevents inmates from exhausting. Also ~~check~~ Mitchell v. Horn 318 F.3d 523-52
3rd Cir 2006

PA DOC facility in next 30 days on transfer to prison in "Virginia" in 30 days. I received 250,000 for each of my lawsuits. Not 13 laws have been heard. 115,000 compensation damages for violation of my Federal Rights 1st amended access to court in order privacy confident for court to attorneys

2) At any facility in the DOC other than SCI Huntredon, if inmates have lawsuits civil federal actions pending in courts and at any time the attorney general send mail to inmates in DA DOC its always classified as legal special privileged mail as its mailed directly to the Prison's direct address instead of 3rd party Smart communications address.

But here at SCI Huntredon (Security Mail Room) dont allow attorney general offices of PA or other attorneys representing employees Defendants in each of the 3 lawsuits I have pending to contact me via US mail legal mail directly to Prison Address. Indeed its a total violation of 4th amendment Privacy, Confidentiality and violation of 1st amendment Access to courts and access to attorneys 6 amendment Right in freely manner. It also violates Due Process depriving me of freedom from physical mental restraint, I could gain freedom from access to Criminal Courts Lawyers But they can even send me legal mail. It has delayed Criminal Proceedings But the DOC SCI Huntredon initiated Fabricated Criminal Charges on me in July 2017. Huntredon still violates my rights access to courts and attempt to refile me with on ~~other~~ criminal charges also to harming pending lawsuits as I was transferred to Huntredon as a nuisance and to hinder my access to courts and its strict Rules that dont follow DOC Policy ADM 803. Instead SCI Huntredon made up their own policy.

They legal mail is processed and sent out within 10-15 minutes. It causes multiple delays and if I case on any lawsuit in lawsuits. The DOC SCI Huntredon's mail policy and employees John Weller, DOC All Responsible and in for hours

Also more shocking - the way of SCI Huntredon or Facility in DA DOC Process legal mail its a constitutional violation and even if they say originals are not read and are destroyed its a lie. Because even if they destroy them...

*** The memory of card / chip hard drive in the scanner in the machine they print from saves all of the ~~DOC~~ inmates legal mail and is transferred onto a computer in the department where I SCI Huntredon's Security Department